

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,052	11/22/2006	Kimihiro Mabuchi	19461-004US1 547267	2047
26211 FISH & RICH	7590 12/20/2010 ARDSON P.C. (NY)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			BASS, DIRK R	
MINNEAPOL	JS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1777	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/582.052 MABUCHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DIRK BASS -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7, 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents h 	ave been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (I 	documents have been received in this National Stage PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Proffeserson's Colont Proving Every (ETC. 0.29)	Paper No(s/Mail Date.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-942)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/582.052

Art Unit: 1777

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment filed December 2, 2010 is acknowledged. Claims 8-16 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1-7 and 17 are pending and further considered on the merits.

Response to Amendment

In light of the amendment, the examiner modifies the grounds of rejection set forth in the office action dated September 3, 2010.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/582,052

Art Unit: 1777

 Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-2 and 5-7 of U. S. Patent No. 7442302 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both the pending application and issued US patent disclose and claim a polysulfone/polyvinylpyrrolidone hollow fiber where the content of polyvinylpyrrolidone on the outer surface of said fiber is 25-50 mass %.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oishi et al., WO 2003/009926. For purposes of examination, the examiner is relying on the US patent publication of WO 2003/009926, hereinafter Oishi et al., US 7087168 (Oishi).
- 5. Regarding claims 1-2, 5, 7, and 17, Oishi discloses a polysulfone/
 polyvinylpyrrolidone hollow fiber membrane (abstract) wherein polyvinylpyrrolidone is
 present in an amount of 30-45 mass % on the inner surface of the membrane (C6, L912). While Oishi does not explicitly disclose the content of PVP being on the outer
 surface of the membrane, it would have been obvious to a routineer in the art at the
 time the invention was made to have the disclosed content of PVP on the outer surface,
 since it has been held that mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device
 involves only routine skill in the art (MPEP 2144 Section VI, Part A).
- Furthermore, Oishi discloses that the PVP content is preferable since if the PVP content is too low, the surface would be too hydrophobic and absorb too much plasma protein, and if the PVP content is too high, increased amounts of PVP would elute into

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/582.052

Art Unit: 1777

the blood (C6, L19-28). Therefore, it can be envisaged that the advantages disclosed in Oishi for having 30-45 mass % of PVP on the interior surface of a hollow fiber membrane can also be relevant and provide the same function when said content of PVP is on the outer surface of the hollow fiber membrane.

- 7. Regarding claim 3, Oishi does not explicitly disclose the porosity of the hollow fiber, nevertheless, Oishi discloses a hollow fiber with the same preferred structure as contained in Applicant's claims/specification; therefore, it is inherent that the hollow fiber has a porosity between 8-25% (MPEP 2112).
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Oishi discloses that the mass ratio of PVP to polysulfone is 1 to 20 mass % (C8. L66-C9. L4).
- Regarding claim 6, Oishi discloses that the hydrophilic polymer is crosslinked so as to be insoluble in water (Claim 8).

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIRK BASS whose telephone number is (571) 270-7370. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9am-4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571) 272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,052 Page 5

Art Unit: 1777

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Krishnan S Menon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777

/DRB/ Dirk R. Bass